

SYN. NO. _____

AGN. NO.

SUBSTITUTE MOTION BY SUPERVISOR ZEV YAROSLAVSKY

March 4, 2003

On December 10, 2002 the Board asked the Auditor-Controller and Chief Administrative Officer whether County agencies were billing the EIDC. The Auditor's February 6, 2003 response is no: none of the 14 County departments involved in film permitting invoice EIDC for fees collected on their behalf, nor has any department established procedures to track filming activity and anticipated revenue. The Auditor's report concludes, "as a result, County departments rely on the EIDC to remit the correct amount based upon EIDC's internal accounting of use fees and other charges."

Although the County has received more than \$400,000.00 from the EIDC through September of 2002, it is impossible to ascertain whether the County received all money due to it.

I, THEREFORE, MOVE that the Board adopt the Auditor's February 6, 2003 recommendations to direct each County Department to properly track and account for filming revenue, and collect filming related use fees through the EIDC.

I, FURTHER, MOVE that the Board request the Executive Committee of the EIDC to adopt the Auditor's February 6, 2003 recommendations to remit use fees due

MOTION

Molina _____

Yaroslavsky _____

Knabe _____

Antonovich _____

Burke _____

the County within 30 days of collection, and require the EIDC's auditors, as part of the annual audit, to incorporate into their testwork a report on agreed-upon procedures to verify that all funds due the County have been paid.

bc I:\add\EIDC Audit, Substitute